This document is housed in the Capital Punishment Clemency Petitions (APAP-214) collection in the M.E. Grenander Department of Special Collections and Archives, University Libraries, University at Albany, SUNY.

IN THE MATTER OF: ) )ALAN JEFFREY BANNISTER, CP-24 )Potosi Correctional Center )Mineral Point, Missouri 63660) APPLICATION FOR COMMUTATION OF A SENTENCE OF DEATHTO: HONORABLE MEL CARNAHAN Governor of the State of Missouri INTRODUCTION Alan Jeffrey "A. J." Bannister, by and through his attorneys, respectfully submits this application, pursuant to art. IV, § 7 of the MissouriConstitution, and §§ 217.800 and 552.070 RSMo., to the Honorable Governor MelCarnahan, requesting that he exercise his constitutional and statutory powersto commute his death sentence to the alternative sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole for 50 years. Mr. Bannister's appeals are almost entirely exhausted and he fullyanticipates that his execution will be set by the Missouri Supreme Courtbefore the end of this calendar year. Mr. Bannister respectfully requests anopportunity to present evidence and argument in support of this application to Governor Carnahan and the Board of Probation and Parole, or to a Board ofInquiry. Mr. Bannister also respectfully requests that Governor Carnahan stayhis execution, as contemplated by Rule 30.30, Missouri Rules of Court, so this application will receive the full and fair review which it deserves. See,e.g., Potts v. Zant, 638 F.2d 727, 730 (5th Cir. 1981) (Georgia governorgranted 90 day stay of execution pending elemency consideration); Miller v.State, 473 S.W.2d 413, 414-415 (Mo. 1972) (Stay of execution ordered bygovernor of Missouri pending psychiatric review). A. J. Bannister has never denied that he shot and killed Darrell Ruestman inhis trailer in Joplin, Missouri on August 21, 1982. Mr. Bannister does, however, dispute the prosecution's theory that he was a hired assassin whokilled Mr. Ruestman for money. At trial, the prosecution's theory that Mr.Bannister was hired "hit man" was not refuted in any way by the defense. Sadly, as has been a common occurrence in Missouri capital cases, Mr.Bannister received a woefully inadequate defense by the Missouri PublicDefender's office at trial. His appointed public defender, Ray Gordon, did noinvestigation of the facts surrounding the case and presented absolutely nodefense during either the guilt or penalty phases of trial. Not surprisingly, it took the jury little time to convict Mr. Bannister and sentence him todeath. The true facts surrounding the killing of Darrell Ruestman, which never cameout at trial due to the inadequacy of his trial attorney, have recently beenbrought to light through the tireless efforts of journalist and filmmakerStephen Trombley. Mr. Trombley became interested in A. J. Bannister's caseafter meeting him at the Potosi Correctional Center in 1991 while filming the documentary THE EXECUTION PROTOCOL, which was aired on the Discovery Channelin 1992. Mr. Trombley also wrote a book with the same title in which Mr.Bannister is prominently featured. After meeting Mr. Bannister in connection with the aforementioned book and film, Mr. Trombley took an interest in the facts of A. J.'s case. As result, Mr. Trombley conducted his own independent investigation into the facts of A.J. Bannister's case and is currently working on both a book and a documentaryfilm about the case. Mr. Trombley's investigation of A. J. Bannister's casehas revealed a much more complicated story of the events leading to the shooting of Darrell Ruestman involving a series of events which preceded themurder occurring in the Peoria, Illinois area and Phoenix, Arizona. The events leading to the shooting of Darrell Ruestman begin in the Peoria. Illinois area, where A. J. Bannister was born and raised. The central figure in the killing of Darrell Ruestman is Peoria area crime boss, Ronald Wooten, a.k.a "Indian." Indian was the major crime boss in the Peoria/Chillicothe, Illinois area. Indian's primary criminal enterprises included selling illegaldrugs, prostitution, and stolen property. Indian was a very violent personwho was feared by everyone, and was reportedly responsible for numerous murders for which he had never been prosecuted. Ironically, Indian iscurrently serving a life sentence in an Illinois prison for the 1989 murder of his wife. In the summer of 1982, A. J. Bannister sold drugs for Indian. A. J. saw

anopportunity to get out of the drug business and remove himself from Indian's domination and control by moving to Phoenix, Arizona to live with his adoptedsister, Patti Bannister. A. J. gave a quantity of drugs that he had not yetsold for Indian to a young man named Eric Vincent, and directed Vincent toreturn the drugs to Indian. A. J. and his adopted sister Patti then drove toPhoenix, Arizona. For some reason, Eric Vincent did not return the drugs that A. J. had givenhim to give to Indian for several days. As result, Indian believed that A. J.had left town and ripped him off for the drugs. On July 9, 1982, A. J. was stabbed four times by unknown persons outside asuburban Phoenix, Arizona tavern. He was hospitalized in intensive care for over a week and in fact almost died. There is strong circumstantial evidence suggesting that Indian ordered thestabbing of A. J. Bannister in Phoenix. There is also circumstantial evidencethat A. J.'s step-sister, Patti Bannister, conspired with Indian to set up A.J.'s stabbing. The most interesting piece of circumstantial evidence in this regard is the fact that Patti placed a 17 minute phone call to Indian, chargedto her mother's phone number, shortly after A. J. was stabbed. After checking out of the Phoenix hospital, A. J. hitchhiked back to Illinois. A few days later, while sitting on the sea wall along the Illinois River, a passing car fired several shots at him. A. J. interpreted this incident as another attempt on his life. Feeling that Indian was behind these attempts on his life, A. J. decided to confront him directly. When he confronted Indian, A. J. was told by Indian that the attempts on hislife were orchestrated by a major drug dealer whom he later identified as Darrell Ruestman. At a meeting at Indian's home on or about August 17, 1982, Indian convinced A. J. that the only way to prevent future attempts on hislife would be to confront Mr. Ruestman directly. That night Indian gave A. J.an old .22 pistol to take with him when he confronted Ruestman. The following day, August 18, 1982, Indian drove A. J. to the Triple T Tavernin Mossville, Illinois. A. J. remained in the car while Indian went insidethe tavern for approximately 20 minutes. When Indian returned, he gave A. J.a piece of paper with Darrell Ruestman's name and address on it. Indian latergave A. J. \$400.00 in order to buy a bus ticket to Joplin and cover other expenses. After arriving in Joplin, the facts leading up to the shooting of DarrellRuestman are basically correct as presented at his trial. However, the majordispute involves the manner in which the actual shooting occurred. A. J.contends that he knocked on the trailer door in order to confront Ruestmanabout the prior attempts on his life, and never had a preconceived plan tokill him. After knocking on Ruestman's door, A. J. stated "I'm fromIllinois." Immediately thereafter, he and Mr. Ruestman engaged in astruggle. A. J. pulled the old .22 from his back pocket and the gun went offduring the struggle. In contrast, the prosecution's theory at trial was that A. J. shot DarrellRuestman from the porch of the trailer immediately after Ruestman answered thedoor. An examination of the physical evidence, however, strongly supports A.J.'s story as opposed to the prosecution theory at trial. The most significant piece of physical evidence supporting A. J.'s story is the angle of the bullet. The autopsy report indicates that the angle of thebullet was markedly downward. Photographs of the trailer indicate that the porch was 3 to 4 inches lower than the threshold of the doorway. In addition, it is well established from the record that both A. J. and the victim were large men. Thus, if the shooting had occurred from the porch immediately after the victim opened the door as the prosecutor suggested at trial, theangle of the bullet would have been either upward or at the very least, horizontal. Other independent factors also strongly support A. J.'s story, and are inconsistent with the prosecution's theory A. J. was a paid "hit man." A. J. Bannister was arrested some seven hours after the shooting at the Joplin bus station. On Monday, August 23, 1982, A. J. was arraigned in Magistrate Court and the public defender was appointed to represent him. Shortly thereafter, A. J. purportedly gave incriminating statements to the Newton County sheriff and led

the authorities to certain pieces of evidence, including the torn up note with Mr. Ruestman's name and address. During these statements, which were not written or recorded, A. J. purportedly told the authorities about a murder for hire plot involving Indian and a payment to himself of \$4000.00, \$1500.00 up-front. However, A. J. never directly confessed to committing the murder of Darrell Ruestman. In fact, A.J. has steadfastly contended that many of statements attributed to him were fabricated by the Newton County sheriff. This theory of fabrication issupported by the fact that the Newton County authorities had received information from Illinois authorities prior to A. J.'s interrogation that thishomicide was a murder for hire arranged by the estranged husband of LindaMcCormick, who was living with the victim at the time of the shooting. Ray Gordon, a state public defender from Joplin, Missouri, was appointed torepresent A. J. Bannister at his trial. Gordon conducted little or noinvestigation into the facts of the case, and only met with A. J. three or four times prior to trial. At trial, Gordon presented only one witness in theguilt phase and put on absolutely no defense. Both A. J. and his family maderepeated attempts to contact Mr. Gordon to inform him of witnesses and evidence which should be presented at trial. Inexplicably, Gordon failed toreturn phone calls from A. J.'s family and refused to conduct anyinvestigation into the facts and circumstances of the case. Moreover, given the limited resources of the Missouri Public Defender's office at that time, there would have been no funds or resources available to do the necessary investigation which would have involved a considerable amount of work in both Illinois and Arizona. Given the fact that no defense was presented at trial, it is not surprisingthat the jury convicted A. J. Bannister of capital murder after less than anhour of deliberation after a short three day trial. In addition, Gordonpresented no evidence in mitigation of punishment during the sentencing phaseand conducted no investigation into Mr. Bannister's background or history inorder to discover any potential mitigating evidence. As result, it can comeas little surprise that the jury assessed the death sentence. Since his 1983 conviction and death sentence, A. J. Bannister has been sitting on Missouri's death row while his case worked its way through thecourts' appellate process. During his incarceration, Mr. Bannister has been amodel inmate and poses no threat to either prison staff or other inmates. Mr.Bannister has become a sort of international media celebrity, in light of hisprominent role in the book and film THE EXECUTION PROTOCOL. As result of hismedia fame, A. J. met and married an English woman, Lindsay Bannister on October 30, 1993. A. J. has demonstrated genuine remorse for the death of Darrell Ruestman. However, at the same time, he has steadfastly contended that the prosecution'sportrayal of him as a hired hit man was untrue and that the jury who convictedhim and sentenced him to death did not hear the true facts of the case due to the limited resources and clear incompetence of his public defender, RayGordon. In addition, the same Missouri Public Defender's office represented Mr.Bannister in his state post-conviction proceedings and due to the lack of timeand resources also failed to develop any evidence that would mitigate Mr.Bannister's guilt for the killing of Darrell Ruestman. The current evidencewhich shows that A. J. was not a paid killer was totally developed through theindependent efforts of writer and film maker Stephen Trombley in connection with his current project of writing a book and doing a documentary film about A. J. Bannister's life. Mr. Bannister and his undersigned attorneys strongly believe that a thoroughexamination of the true facts surrounding this particular crime and thehistory of the condemned prisoner will establish that permitting A. J.Bannister's execution would be disproportionate to the nature of the crime and a clear miscarriage of justice. A. J. Bannister, therefore, asks that the Honorable Governor Carnahan after a full and fair review of all the factssurrounding his case, commute his death sentence to a sentence of lifeimprisonment without the possibility of parole for 50 years. BACKGROUND AND

This document is housed in the Capital Punishment Clemency Petitions (APAP-214) collection in the M.E. Grenander Department of Special Collections and Archives, University Libraries, University at Albany, SUNY.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY On February 3, 1983, A. J. Bannister was convicted for the capital murder of Darrell Ruestman which occurred on August 21, 1982, and sentenced to death. On November 20, 1984, the Missouri Supreme Court affirmed A. J. Bannister's conviction and death sentence. State v. Bannister, 680 S.W.2d 141 (Mo. banc1984). Thereafter, Mr. Bannister sought post-conviction relief pursuant to MissouriSupreme Court Rule 27.26. The circuit court denied Bannister's 27.26 petitionon December 17, 1985. Thereafter, the Missouri Court of Appeals affirmed thedenial of Bannister's 27.26 motion. Bannister v. State, 726 S.W.2d 821 (Mo.App. 1987). Mr. Bannister thereafter sought habeas corpus relief in the federal courtspursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On August 23, 1991 Judge D. Brook Bartlett of the Federal District Court for the Western District of Missouri entered anorder denying habeas corpus relief. Bannister v. Armontrout, 807 F.Supp. 516(W.D. Mo. 1991). The Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the denial of habeas relief in Bannister v. Armontrout, 4 F.3d 1438 (8th Cir. 1993). The full Eighth Circuit thereafter denied rehearing en banc over the dissents of Chief Judge Richard Arnold and two other active circuit judges. The United States Supreme Courtthen refused to hear the case, by denying Bannister's petition for a writ of certiorari on October 31, 1994. Bannister v. Armontrout, \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_ (1994). Mr. Bannister does intend to seek further judicial review of his convictionand death sentence. However, because of the strict procedural bar rules governing such review, it is unlikely that any court will agree to hear themerits of any future appeal. Mr. Bannister will keep the Governor's officeapprised of the status and progress of any future judicial proceedings in the case. REASONS FOR COMMUTATION OF SENTENCE I. Newly discovered evidence clearly establishes that A. J. Bannister is not guilty of capital murder and that the death sentence imposed in his case is disproportionate to the true facts of the crime. The prosecution's theory at A. J. Bannister's trial, which went unchallenged by his public defender Ray Gordon, was that A. J. killed the victim for\$4000.00. A. J. purportedly received this money from a middleman named RonaldWooten, a.k.a. "Indian," at the behest of Richard McCormick, the estrangedhusband of Linda McCormick, with whom the victim was living at the time he waskilled in Joplin. A. J. Bannister admits to shooting Darrell Ruestman -- butfor a far different reason than the theory advanced by the prosecution. Thecase of A. J. Bannister and the killing of Darrell Ruestman is a much more complicated story involving several people, and events occurring in Illinoisand Phoenix, Arizona. Before discussing the events leading to the killing of Darrell Ruestman, it is important to first learn a little backgroundinformation regarding the persons involved in this case. 1. Richard "Dick" McCormick. In 1982, Dick McCormick ran a garbage collection business in Minonk, Illinois. He had married Linda, a petite strawberry blond, when she was only 14 years old. Linda kept the books for Dick's garbage business. DickMcCormick was a large heavy set man, who was a hard drinking womanizing type.Dick McCormick is well known in numerous taverns on both sides of the Illinoisriver. Darrell Ruestman began working for Dick McCormick in 1981. By the spring of 1982, Darrell Ruestman and Linda McCormick had fallen in love. To escape herunhappy marriage with Dick McCormick, Linda agreed to leave Illinois with Darrell to move to Joplin, Missouri where Darrell's uncle had a job waitingfor him in a construction business. After his wife left him, Dick McCormick became very angry. Dick had approached a number of people during the summer of 1982, offering them moneyto go down to Joplin to kill Darrell Ruestman. One such plan was for BillRussell, who ran the local flea market in Minonk, to go down to Joplin to giveDarrell Ruestman a good beating. Russell, in fact did take some of Dick'smoney and went to Joplin, but failed to do the job. McCormick also approached Terry Widmer, who was Bill Russell's son. Dickoffered Terry money to bring Darrell back to Minonk so he could torture himwith acid out

of his garbage dump. Terry Widmer thought about this proposition, but ultimately refused Dick's offer. Marvin Wehrli, who workedat a service station at Minonk, turned down McCormick's offer of money to killRuestman on at least three separate occasions. Frustrated at his lack of success in obtaining a hit man to kill DarrellRuestman, Dick McCormick made the rounds at the local taverns openly offeringanyone \$5000.00 to kill Darrell Ruestman. As will be further explained, itappears McCormick ultimately succeeded in his plot when he contacted Richard Wooten, a.k.a. Indian. However, it is extremely interesting, and unusual for a murder for hire case that there was no evidence introduced by the prosecution in A. J. Bannister's trial that Dick McCormick was behind themurder for hire plot that culminated in the shooting of Darrell Ruestman. While A. J. Bannister's case was awaiting trial, Illinois authorities chargedDick McCormick with four counts of solicitation to murder. However, McCormickplea bargained and pled guilty to a misdemeanor, conspiracy to commitaggravated battery. Part of this plea bargain involved his agreement to cooperate and in return McCormick served six months in the Woodford CountyJail and thereafter received probation. Today, McCormick lives in Bloomington, Illinois, where he runs a smallcatering business. Since the day after Darrell Ruestman was killed, he and Linda have lived together and remained married. No direct connection was everestablished between McCormick and A. J. Bannister, and in fact there is none. 2. Ronald Rick Wooten, a.k.a. "Indian". The man called "Indian", whom the state refers to as the "middle man" in itscase against A. J. Bannister, is a criminal who terrorized central Illinoisfor more than twenty years. He was at one time president of the Illinoismotorcycle gang the Arapahoes. He was a big time drug dealer, and used hisjob as a long haul truck driver for Caterpillar to build a network of narcotics distribution throughout the United States. He was also into prostitution. His sexual preference is young girls, and he recruited a number of schoolgirls from broken homes who would come and live at his farmhouse in Sparland, Illinois. When he was finished with them, he turned them into prostitutes, and worked them out of a number of properties he owned locally. Indian is a scary character, and while he is currently serving a lifesentence in Illinois for the murder of his former wife, Kimberly Ray, localsto this day are frightened to say very much about him, for fear of retribution. Many local police officers become nervous and testy when pressedabout why Indian was allowed to menace a community for twenty years beforebeing sent to prison. Wooten, now forty-five years old, is the grandson of a wealthy and respected farmer. Years ago, the grandfather made a fortune by selling a large parcelof land on which Caterpillar built its main factory, just a mile from thehouse where A. J. Bannister was raised. During A. J.'s childhood, Caterpillaremployed 26,000 people. It is still the largest employer in the region. Acondition of old farmer Wooten selling the land to Caterpillar was that Indianshould have a job there for life. Wooten's FBI records show a long history of arrests for crimes such as theft, assault and battery, narcotics and, finally, murder. The FBI records make interesting reading, because Indian never posted a cash bond higher than ahundred dollars. Apart from the murder charge that finally put him behindbars, Indian has never been convicted of any of the felony crimes for which hewas arrested and charged, despite compelling evidence of his guilt. The present chief of police in Chillicothe, Illinois is Steve Maurer, who was one of A. J.'s closest friends in high school. Maurer believes that Indian may be implicated in as many as twenty murders. The reluctance of people to speak openly of their dealings with Indian isunderstandable. His reputation as someone to fear was based on the certainknowledge of some locals that he was capable of murder. Some knew becausethey had been witnesses to murders which, to this day, remain unsolved. Andthey knew that Indian's wealth and influence within the community put himabove the law. As long as he was at liberty, he was the law in

certaincircles in central Illinois. If you made Indian angry, you would pay. An ex-girlfriend tells how Indianterrorized her for two years after she left him and obtained a courtrestraining order forbidding him to come within a mile of her. It made nodifference since Indian often used a combination of fear and money to getothers to do his dirty work. Despite the restraining order, the girlfriend'sbrake cables were cut. On another occasion, a man annoyed Indian at a partyheld at his farmhouse in Sparland. He took the man outside. Other guestsheard a single gunshot. The man was never seen again. During his trial forthe murder of Kimberly Ray, it was revealed that Indian had one of hisflunkies shoot her while he watched. One of the three killers then had sexwith the corpse. Kimberly was later buried in a ditch near the Caterpillarplant where Indian worked. After one of the killers led police to Kimberly Ray's badly decomposed body, Indian was finally brought to justice. He received a natural life sentence, and is presently incarcerated at Joliet Correctional Center. For the firsttime in his criminal career, Indian couldn't bargain his way out of jail byrolling on another criminal. Now that Indian is safely locked away, somepolice officers will admit, off the record, that the system of "policeinformants" was responsible for keeping one of the areas most dangerous criminals on the streets and above the law. Although Indian is serving a natural life sentence, many of the Illinoislocals continue to fear him. One woman who had the courage to testify against Indian in his trial for the murder of Kimberly Ray continues to receive deaththreats. Others, who have witnessed the results of Indian's anger, do notbelieve that he will be incarcerated for the rest of his life, and that hemight someday wreak terrible vengeance against them. Perhaps more than anyone, A. J. Bannister had reason to fear Indian. According to A. J., when he was seventeen, he was riding around Peoria onenight in Indian's van with another biker. Without saying a word to either ofhis two passengers, Indian stopped his van across the street from where afifteen year old black youth was standing. Indian beckoned the youth over. As he approached the van, Indian pulled out his .44 and shot him dead, thendrove away calmly. Indian never mentioned the incident again to A. J. It wasone of Indian's ways of demonstrating that he meant business, and that hedidn't need a reason to hurt someone: anyone. 3. A. J. Bannister. A. J. Bannister was born on July 1, 1958 in Peoria, Illinois, the first childof Bob and Alice Bannister. A. J.'s father worked at the Caterpillar plant, which was the area's largest employer. In 1963, the Bannister family moved toRome, Illinois, a smaller town 15 miles north of Peoria. A. J. grew up inRome and generally did very well in elementary and high school. A. J. beganto get into minor scrapes with the law about the time he finished highschool. But up until that time, he would have been what would be considered amodel student and young man. In the summer of 1982, A. J. was twenty-three years old. He had been in andout of prison since his senior year of high school, when he was sentenced to 1-3 years at Menard Penitentiary for the theft of a CB radio from a truck. After two further stints in the penitentiary, A. J. had little chance ofgetting a job locally. Indian offered him the opportunity to make some easymoney by giving him a quantity of cocaine to sell. He wanted \$1500.00 backfrom the sales. Any money that remained was A. J.'s profit. The cocaineturned out to be poor quality, and a local narcotics bust put the fear of arrest into A. J. He decided to return to Indian the remainder of the drugsand the money he had made so far. A. J. went looking for Indian, but couldn't find him. A. J. had just takenup with a new girlfriend. His adopted younger sister, Patti, was planning atrip out west to meet her boyfriend in Colorado, and A. J. and his newgirlfriend wanted to go. He gave the drugs and money to a friend named Eric Vincent, with instructions to return them to Indian. A. J., his girlfriend and Patti ended up in Glendale, Arizona - a suburb of Phoenix. A. J. found a job with a local construction company, and was due tostart work on the following Monday. That Saturday night, July 9, 1982, hewent to a bar, the Cactus Inn. It was hot, and he went outside for some

air. A man called to him from across the parking lot. Suspicious, A. J. decided togo back inside. As he moved, the other men came up behind him and stabbed himsix times in the back. The wounds were up to six inches deep, and one of hislungs was punctured. He was left to die in the parking lot. As he lostconsciousness, A. J. could hear the man in front of him say, "he won't rip offnobody's drugs again." A. J. thought he had seen the man before, but to thisday cannot place him. A. J. was rushed to hospital by helicopter, where he underwent emergencysurgery. That night, someone made a seventeen-minute phone call to Indian, charging it to the phone of Alice Bannister - A. J.'s mother. Alice isadamant that her adopted daughter Patti made the call. Twelve years later, A.J. cannot understand why Patti would have called Indian. (Since that time, Alice has refused to talk to Patti. Patti continues to live in Arizona, andrarely visits Illinois, avoiding Alice when she does.) A. J. was in intensive care for ten days. Against doctor's orders, he checked himself out of hospital as soon as he felt strong enough to walk. He hitched a lift with two women who were heading to Houston, and spent the night with them. He hitchhiked another full day, sleeping at a roadside rest area, and arrived back home the next day. A. J. believed that Indian had ordered the stabbing. He believed that Indian's influence, and his network of criminal connections, was sufficient toget the job done. There was talk in Chillicothe of a confrontation betweenthe two men. A few days after A. J. returned home, he was sitting on the concrete sea wallalong the Illinois River in South Rome, a mile from his mother's house, whensomeone took a shot at him from a passing car on Highway 29, which runsparallel with the river. He ducked behind the wall and waited for the car topass. A. J. resolved to confront Indian. A. J. called Indian and demanded a meeting. It was set for later that nightat Indian's farmhouse in Sparland. A. J. was nervous, and was relieved to see that Indian's then wife, Trish Vandervere, was there. Trish was unlike most of Indian's other women. She was middle class, dressed conservatively, andhad a good job with TWA down in St. Louis, where she normally stayed duringthe week. A. J. demanded to know if Indian had ordered his stabbing. Indian told A. J.that Eric Vincent did not return the unsold drugs and money owed to Indianright away. Before he delivered them to Indian, Vincent went on a three-daydrunk. During that time Indian heard that A. J. had left Illinois, and assumed that A. J. had ripped him off. Indian explained that he, in turn, owed the man who had supplied him with the drugs. He had given the man A.J.'s name. Indian said that when he realized it was all a mistake, he triedto call the other guy off, but it was too late. He apologized.

A. J. only half believed Indian, but had no way of countering hisstory. At that point, A. J. was motivated by revenge. He wasdetermined to find out who had him stabbed, and "to make him feel someof the pain that I felt." A. J. told a friend he was going to "carvehis initials on the guy's ass, one on each cheek", so that "every timehe sat down, he'd remember me." Indian said, "I know who's responsible for your stabbing." There was aloaded .22 caliber pistol on the coffee table between them. Indian gavethe gun to A. J. that evening. It turned out to be the murder weapon. The next afternoon Indian drove A. J. to the Triple T Tavern inMossville, Illinois. A. J. remained in the car while Indian went insidethe tavern for approximately 20 minutes. Indian returned with a pieceof paper with Darrell Ruestman's name and address on it. Indian thendrove A. J. to a store where he purchased some gloves, and later gave A.J. \$400.00 to purchase a round-trip bus ticket to Joplin, Missouri, andto cover other expenses during his trip there. The next day A. J.Bannister boarded a bus for Joplin, Missouri. 4. The shooting of Darrell Ruestman. After arriving in Joplin on August 20, A. J. Bannister checked into alocal motel. He made his way to the trailer park where Darrell Ruestman resided on August 21, 1982. At the trailer park, A. J. befriended ayoung man named Glen Miller, who lived next door to Darrell Ruestman

andLinda McCormick. Most of that afternoon and early evening, A. J. stayed at Glen Miller'strailer, hoping to get a glimpse of Darrell Ruestman. Early thatevening, A. J. observed Ruestman and Linda McCormick coming and goingfrom the trailer. A. J. needed to get Glen Miller to leave the trailerpark and to accomplish this told him to meet him later at a local bar. At approximately 10:00 p.m., armed with the .22 caliber pistol that Indian had given him in his back pocket, A. J. Bannister approached thetrailer of Darrell Ruestman and Linda McCormick. After knocking on the door, Darrell Ruestman answered. A. J. immediately told Ruestman upon the opening of the door, "I'm from Illinois." Upon hearing this, Darrell Ruestman began scuffling with A. J. in the doorway. A. J. pulled the .22 pistol from his back pocket and a single shot went offduring the struggle. A. J. immediately ran from the trailer and madehis way back to the motel. At this time, A. J. did not know whether the shot had hit Ruestman or not. In any event, A. J. had no idea that hehad fatally wounded Darrell Ruestman during this scuffle. After disposing of the gun and changing clothes, A. J. returned to the motel for a short period of time. A. J. later went to a local tavernuntil closing time. A. J. checked out of the motel at about 4 a.m. andtook a cab to the Joplin bus station. While waiting for a bus to returnhim to Illinois, A. J. was arrested by members of the Joplin PoliceDepartment. The autopsy of Darrell Ruestman revealed two significant factssupporting A. J.'s story of how the shooting occurred. First, thebullet that penetrated Darrell Ruestman's heart entered his body at amarkedly downward angle. Had the shooting occurred as the prosecution contended, from the porch immediately after Ruestman opened the door tothe trailer, the entrance would have not been at a markedlydownward angle. In addition, there were powder burns on the victim's clothing around the area of the entrance wound, which suggests that the shooting occurred at extremely close range. Both of these irrefutable facts arising from the autopsy support A. J.'s story of how the shooting occurred, and clearly contradict the prosecution's theory that this was amurder for hire in which A. J. shot the victim from the porch of thetrailer immediately after Darrell Ruestman answered the door. II. A. J. Bannister's trial counsel, due to lack of resources and incompetence, failed to investigate and discover the true factssurrounding this crime, and further failed to present any meaningfuldefense in either the guilt or punishment stage of A. J. Bannister'strial. A. J. Bannister's trial presents the unfortunate, yet common occurrencein death penalty cases, where the death sentence, if not the capitalmurder conviction itself, could have been avoided had the defendantreceived competent representation during his trial. In the four monthsbetween A. J.'s arrest and his trial, A. J.'s appointed attorney, Ray Gordon, met with him approximately four times for approximately an hourof total time to discuss potential trial strategies and courses ofinvestigation. A. J. and members of his family repeatedly attempted to prod Ray Gordoninto conducting a more thorough investigation of the facts of the case, in order to provide A. J. with witnesses and a defense to the charge. These pleas, for whatever reason, fell upon deaf ears. As result, RayGordon went to trial representing a man whose life was at stake withoutever having conducted any investigation into the facts of the crime orthe background of his client. Gordon simply did not present any defenseat all. As result, it can come as little surprise that it took the juryless than an hour to both convict and sentence A. J. Bannister to death. Ray Gordon's failure to investigate is probably not entirelyhis fault. At the time of A. J.'s trial, the Missouri Public DefenderSystem was dreadfully underfunded and understaffed. Ray Gordon did nothave any available funds nor investigative staff at his disposal withwhich to properly investigate the case, even if he had the desire and inclination to do so. The necessary investigation for this case, if funds had been available, would have taken several man hours and several days of work. In fact, it has taken investigative journalist, Stephen Trombley, several months with which to compile all of

the facts in connection with his book anddocumentary film. A. J.'s entire trial, beginning with jury selection and ending with thejury's pronouncement of the death sentence, lasted a scant three days. At the guilt phase, Ray Gordon called only one witness for the defense, a police officer who had little to offer to A. J. Bannister's defense. At the penalty phase, Gordon called no witnesses and offered no evidence on A. J.'s behalf in support of his argument that the death sentence wasnot appropriate in the case. A. J. Bannister firmly believes that if hehad been given an adequate defense at trial, he would have been acquitted of capital murder, or at the very least received a lifesentence instead of the death penalty. If the case had been properly investigated and an effective defense presented at trial, the following facts could have been presented forthe jury's consideration in both the guilt and the penalty phases oftrial. In the guilt phase, as previously noted, there is substantial evidence that A. J. was not knowingly acting as a hit-man on behalf of Indian. This theory could have been established by the independent evidence regarding A. J.'s stabbing in Phoenix, which could have been corroborated by existing medical records from the Phoenix hospital. A.J.'s story could have also been corroborated by telephone records in Phoenix and Illinois. In particular the seventeen-minute phone callfrom Phoenix to Indian, charged to Alice Bannister's phone, stronglycorroborates the theory that Indian was behind A. J.'s stabbing. Inaddition, phone records clearly establish a link between Indian and DickMcCormick through the Triple T Tavern. In addition, an investigation conducted in Illinois would have revealed Dick McCormick's numerous attempts to hire someone to kill the man whostole his wife, and the fact that he was under indictment for solicitingthe murder of Darrell Ruestman at the time of A. J.'s trial. The juryheard nothing about Richard McCormick's other attempts to solicit themurder of Darrell Ruestman, even though Linda McCormick was the veryfirst prosecution witness at trial. Coupled with the existing physical evidence regarding the powder burnsand the angle of the bullet, Ray Gordon could have presented aneffective defense based on accident or self-defense under Missouri law. Had this evidence been presented to the jury, Mr. Bannister is confidentthat he would have been acquitted of capital murder, and at the veryleast been convicted of either second degree murder or manslaughter. Regarding the guilt phase, one last issue needs to be addressed: A.J.'s "so-called confession." Many of the courts reviewing A. J.'s caseon appeal have viewed the case as one where A. J. gave a completeconfession to the contract killing of Darrell Ruestman. This conclusion is clearly not accurate. On August 23, 1982, A. J. Bannister did give certain incriminating statements to members of the Newton County Sheriff's Department. However, none of these purported incriminating statements were everaudio or videotaped by the police officers involved, nor were anywritten statements given by Mr. Bannister. This fact alone issuspicious, and casts doubts upon the veracity of this "confession" testimony by the police. Mr. Bannister has always contended that the police officers whotestified at his trial distorted and fabricated many of the statementshe gave to them on August 23, 1982. A. J. doesn't deny that he toldthem about Indian and Indian's complicity in the killing. However, the critical detail upon which A. J. and the police officers' stories conflict is the question of whether A. J. told them that he received \$4000.00, \$1500.00 up-front, to kill Darrell Ruestman. Several independent factors corroborate A. J.'s contention that henever told the police officers that he was a hired assassin that hadbeen paid \$1500.00 "front money." First, Rodney Ruestman, the victim'sbrother and deputy sheriff in Woodford County Illinois, called the Newton County Sheriff's Department immediately following his brother's shooting prior to A. J. Bannister's arrest. During these phone calls, Rodney Ruestman told the Missouri authorities of his firm belief thatthe murder of his brother was a contract killing arranged by DickMcCormick. Thus, it is clear that the Missouri authorities

possessed information that predisposed them to believe that the murder of DarrellRuestman was a contract killing, prior to the arrest and interrogation of A. J. Bannister. Thus, it would have taken little imagination forlaw enforcement officials to fabricate that A. J. admitted to them hereceived a sum of money from Indian to perform the killing. Second, if A. J. had been paid \$1500.00 up-front to kill DarrellRuestman, he should have had a substantial sum of money on his personwhen he was arrested at the Joplin bus station. However, in fact, A. J.had only 42 cents in his pocket when he was arrested by the Joplin police. In addition, if he was a well-paid professional hit-man, whydid A. J. remain in town for more than 7 hours after the "hit" wasaccomplished? Finally, it seems very unlikely that a professionalhit-man would use a rickety .22 caliber pistol instead of a more reliable and powerful weapon. All of these factors strongly suggestthat A. J. was not a hired killer. One final point needs to be addressed in regard to A. J.'s "so-calledconfession." Even the law enforcement officials who testified about A.J.'s oral statements concede that A. J. never actually admitted shootingDarrell Ruestman. In addition, the statements A. J. purportedly madewere vague, third person narratives of how the killer could have accomplished the shooting. These vague, third person statements purportedly given by A. J. are a far cry from the total confession to acontract killing as this case has been described by reviewing courts. Inexplicably, Ray Gordon did not effectively exploit any of theseweaknesses in the prosecution's presentation of A. J.'s statements. Coupled with Gordon's failure to present additional existing factsnegating the contract murder theory, the jury in this case did not fullyunderstand the circumstances surrounding A. J.'s "so-called confession" due to Gordon's incompetence. Had Gordon performed competently inpresenting these arguments and evidence to the jury, Mr. Bannisterbelieves he would not have been convicted of a capital offense, or atthe very least there would have been sufficient residual doubt to sparehim a death sentence even if the jury had found him guilty of a capitalmurder. As to the penalty phase of trial, Ray Gordon's utter ineffectiveness is also self-evident. Gordon called absolutely no witnesses nor offeredany evidence to the jury in support of any argument that the deathpenalty was not warranted in A. J.'s case. It is well establishedunder Eighth Amendment principles that it is crucial for the defense incapital cases to conduct a full investigation and present any relevant mitigating evidence in support of a life sentence. Gordon conducted no investigation whatsoever into Mr. Bannister's background and character. Had he done so, many members of A. J.'s family and friends from Illinois would have been willing to testify on his behalf in the punishment stage. This type of testimony could have very easily convinced the jury not to sentence Mr. Bannister to death. In addition, there was existing evidence that could have been discovered by Gordon to call into question the existence of the two statutory aggravators found by the jury in support of the deathpenalty: (1), that A. J. killed the victim for money; and (2), that A.J. Bannister had a substantial history of serious assaultiveconvictions. As to the former aggravating circumstance, as previouslynoted, there is substantial evidence to support A. J.'s story that hewas not a paid killer. Had this evidence been presented to the jury, there is a substantial probability that they would not have found the existence of this aggravating factor. The second aggravating factor concerns the fact that the prosecutorintroduced records of A. J. Bannister's prior convictions, and argued to the jury that his criminal record was sufficient to establish that hehad "a substantial history of serious assaultive convictions." (Supp. Trial Tr. at 68-69). In this regard the prosecution introduced records indicating that A. J. Bannister was convicted of a residential burglaryin 1976; the rape of woman named Diane DeVoss in 1979; another residential burglary in 1979; the armed robbery of a woman namedKimberly Engquist in 1979; and finally an armed robbery and deviates exual assault of a woman named Jenelle Nelson in 1979. On their face

alone, his criminal "rap sheet" would seem to indicatethat A. J. Bannister had a substantial criminal record of an assaultivenature, excluding the burglary convictions. However, a cursoryinvestigation into the facts of A. J.'s prior convictions would haveindicated otherwise. Specifically, the 1979 rape conviction of Diane DeVoss, was a "statutory" rape not involving any assaultive conduct. The factssurrounding that charge involve the fact that A. J. had consensual sex with an under-age girl; A. J. was 17 and Ms. DeVoss was 14 at the timethis consensual sexual encounter occurred. Thus, had the true factssurrounding this incident been discovered and brought forth by RayGordon, the jury would have learned that this rape conviction was not ofan assaultive nature. Similarly, A. J. Bannister's 1979 convictions for armed robbery anddeviate sexual assault of Jenelle Nelson involved a situation where A.J. and a companion, Tim Bailey, had sex with a known prostitute, paidher, then took the money back and stranded her in the country. In fact, A. J. only agreed to plead guilty to these offenses as part of a "package deal" plea bargain involving other charges. The other 1979 robbery conviction of Kimberly Engquist involved asituation where A. J. and Tim Bailey, while intoxicated, stole somepacks of cigarettes from a gas station. During this robbery, A. J. hada gun in his waistband that was observed by the clerk. The facts surrounding all of A. J.'s prior convictions could have been easily discovered by Ray Gordon had he checked the police files from Illinois. Had he presented the true facts surrounding these convictions, it is evident that A. J.'s prior convictions could have convinced the jury to find that A. J. did not have a "substantial history of serious assaultive convictions." A. J. Bannister's case does not present the situation where the evidence supporting his death sentence was overwhelming. In fact, the sentencing jury obviously seriously considered the life imprisonmental ternative, as evidenced by their question to the judge during sentencing phase deliberations, regarding whether A. J. could everpossibly be released prior to 50 years if they gave him a lifesentence. (Supp. Trial Tr. at 84-86). The various state and federal courts who have reviewed A. J.'sconviction and death sentence have never addressed these issues relatingto Gordon's incompetence at trial. In state post-conviction proceedings where issues regarding trial counsel's ineffectiveness must be raisedunder Missouri law, A. J.'s 27.26 counsel Robert Wolfrum was denied acontinuance in order to conduct further investigation into the factssupporting ineffectiveness claims against Ray Gordon. Wolfrum, a member of the same Missouri Public Defender's Office, also lacked the neededinvestigative and monetary resources to fully investigate any ineffectiveness claims against Ray Gordon. As result of this defect in27.26 proceedings, any such claim was forever procedurally barred from review on the merits by the federal courts in the future. See Keeney v.Tomayo-Reyes, 112 S.Ct. 1715 (1992). A. J. Bannister fully intends to ask the Missouri and federal courts toreview the merits of these ineffectiveness claims against Ray Gordon. However, in light of the strict procedural bar rules enforced by thecourts, it is very unlikely that the courts will reach the merits of these claims. Thus, Governor Carnahan is the only authority who has the power to remedy this obvious injustice resulting from the incompetence of A. J. Bannister's trial counsel. III. During his incarceration on death row, A. J. Bannister hasdemonstrated genuine remorse for his crime, has been a model inmate, andwould pose no physical threat to prison staff or other inmates if hissentence were to be commuted to life imprisonment. Unlike many, if not most incarcerated individuals, A. J. Bannister doesnot profess his innocence. A. J. has never denied shooting DarrellRuestman or attempted to avoid responsibility for his actions. Duringnumerous interviews with the press and media, A. J. has candidly admitted his involvement in the shooting and demonstrated genuineremorse and sympathy toward the family of the victim. A. J. Bannister realizes that he is deserving of punishment forshooting Darrell Ruestman, but strongly believes

that his death sentencefor his involvement in the shooting is clearly disproportionate andunjust. This injustice is further highlighted by the fact that the two masterminds of the killing of Darrell Ruestman, Dick McCormick and Richard Wooten, were never brought to justice for their part in themurder of Darrell Ruestman. A. J. Bannister has now spent more than 11 years on Missouri's deathrow. During that time, he has never posed a serious disciplinaryproblem to prison officials. In fact, A. J. has lived in general population since the restructuring of Missouri's death row at the PotosiCorrectional Center. From his past behavior while incarcerated, it is abundantly clear that if his sentence were commuted he would pose nofuture threat of violence toward other inmates nor prison officials. As result of his prominent role in the book and film THE EXECUTIONPROTOCOL, A. J. has developed numerous friendships and corresponded withnumerous individuals from all over the world. Last year, he met andmarried an Englishwoman, Lindsay Bannister. They recently celebrated their first anniversary together on October 30, 1994. Currently, A. J. Bannister's life story and the circumstances of thiscrime are being filmed for a Discovery Channel documentary by StephenTrombley, who previously produced and directed THE EXECUTION PROTOCOL. This documentary and an accompanying book will be released sometime inearly 1995. In light of his newly found media fame, A. J. Bannister has grantednumerous interviews to the print and film media regarding not only hispersonal situation on death row, but his outlooks on other subjects such as illegal drugs and other criminal justice issues. A. J.'s mediacontacts exhibit an intelligence and level of humanity, as well as aninsight into the criminal justicesystem, that would be tragically extinguished if he were to be executed by the State of Missouri. IV. CONCLUSION Since his appeals are nearly exhausted, Governor Carnahan is the onlyauthority with the power to prevent the unjust execution of Alan JeffreyBannister. As is sadly all too common in death penalty cases, A. J.Bannister faces death as direct result of an underfunded and incompetent defense attorney who represented him at trial. Mr. Bannister has aptlydemonstrated in this clemency petition that had he received a competent defense at trial, he would not be forced to ask Governor Carnahan tointervene to save his life. In an ideal world, the criminal justice system fairly sorts out theguilty from the innocent, while at the same time doling out fair andjust punishment to those convicted of serious crimes. However, the criminal justice system cannot operate fairly in a situation, as in A.J. Bannister's case, where an indigent defendant on trial for his lifeis provided a totally inadequate and underfunded defense. In addition, the appellate process in death penalty cases has become amorass of petty procedural rules, which many have argued is the resultof a conscious effort by the United States Supreme Court to deny fulland fair review to death row inmates of their constitutional claims. A.J. Bannister's appeals are illustrative of this legal trend and dilemmaas well. The Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, as well as the lowercourts refused to hear the merits of the clear violation of A. J.'sconstitutional right to counsel, which would have resulted in a new andfair trial, because of a procedural "technicality." Similarly, because 27.26 counsel did not fully develop the aforementioned ineffective assistance of counsel claims in state post-conviction proceedings, A. J.Bannister's claim that he received ineffective assistance of trialcounsel will be never be heard on the merits by any court. Governor Carnahan should, therefore, intervene and exercise hisconstitutional and statutory powers to prevent the obvious injustice of permitting the execution of A. J. Bannister. For all the aforementioned reasons, A. J. Bannister respectfully requests that Governor Carnahan, after a thorough and fair review of his clemency petition and supportingevidence, as provided for under Missouri law, enter an executive ordercommuting his death sentence to a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole for 50 years, or grant such other and furtherrelief that the law may permit.

This document is housed in the Capital Punishment Clemency Petitions (APAP-214) collection in the M.E. Grenander Department of Special Collections and Archives, University Libraries, University at Albany, SUNY.

Respectfully submitted, Christopher D. Schneider #39544 and Bruce E. Baty #32386 MORRISON AND HECKER 2600 Grand Ave. Kansas City, MO 64108 816/691-2600 and Kent E. Gipson, #34524 and Charles M. Rogers, #25539 MO CAPITAL PUNISHMENT RESOURCE CENTER 5319 Rockhill Road Kansas City, MO 64106 816/363-2795